arXiv:1507.04219v1 [math.DG] 15 Jul 2015

ISOPARAMETRIC HYPERSURFACES IN MINKOWSKI SPACES

QUN HE, SONGTING YIN AND YIBING SHEN

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce isoparametric functions and isoparametric hypersurfaces in Finsler manifolds and give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a transnormal function to be isoparametric. We then prove that hyperplanes, Minkowski hyperspheres and F^*-Minkowski cylinders in a Minkowski space with BH-volume (resp. HT-volume) form are all isoparametric hypersurfaces with one and two distinct constant principal curvatures respectively. Moreover, we give a complete classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces in Randers-Minkowski spaces and construct a counter example, which shows that Wang's Theorem B in [6] does not hold in Finsler geometry.

1. Introduction

In Riemannian geometry, isoparametric hypersurfaces are a class of important submanifolds studied by many geometers. Let (M, g) be a connected complete Riemannian manifold. An *isoparametric hypersurface* in (M, g) is a regular level hypersurface of an *isoparametric function* f, which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla f|^2 = \tilde{a}(f), \\ \Delta f = \tilde{b}(f), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ denotes the Laplacian on (M, g), $\tilde{a}$ is a smooth function and $\tilde{b}$ is a continuous function on M. A smooth function f satisfying only the first equation of (1.1) is called a *transnormal function*. Early in 1938, B. Segre ([1]) proved that an isoparametric hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is either a hyperplane, a hypersphere, or a cylinder $\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. Later on, E. Cartan ([2]) showed that in a space form $M^n(c)$, a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if each regular level hypersurface of f has constant mean curvature, or equivalently, if and only if each regular level hypersurface has constant principal curvatures. If $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_g$ are the all distinct principal

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J05; Secondary 58J35.

Key words and phrases. Finsler-Laplacian, isoparametric function, isoparametric hypersurfaces, mean curvature, principal curvature.

Project supported by NNSFC(no.11471246) and NSFHE(no.KJ2014A257).

curvatures, then we have the following Cartan formula

$$\sum_{i\neq j} m_i \frac{c+k_j k_i}{k_j-k_i}=0,\ j=1,\ldots,g, \tag{1.2}$$

where m_i is the multiplicity of k_i. Subsequent research focused mainly on isoparametric hypersurfaces in the n-sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ and many important results have been obtained([4] [5] [6]). Recently, Z. Tang and his students gave a lot of fresh results on isoparametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n$ ([3] [5]).

In Finsler geometry, however, there are no any studies on Finslerian isoparametric hypersurfaces so far. The major difficulties are that there is no unified volume measure and there are many ways to define the induced volume form and the mean curvature of a hypersurface in a Finsler manifold (M,F). Usually, the Busemann-Hausdorff volume (BH-volume for short) form and the Holmes-Thompson volume (HT-volume for short) form are used ([7]). Given a volume form $d\mu$ on (M,F), we can define a non-linear Finsler-Laplacian

$$\Delta f=\Delta^{\nabla f} f=\operatorname{div}(\nabla f)$$

with respect to $d\mu$, where ∇f denotes the gradient of f defined by means of the Legendre transformation. The non-linear Finsler-Laplacian Δf is a well-known and very important operator in Finsler geometry, on which there are many important results([7] [8] [9], etc). We then can define the isoparametric function and the isoparametric hypersurface in a Finsler manifold $(M,F,d\mu)$ in the same manner as in Riemannian geometry. In other words, a function f on $(M,F,d\mu)$ is said to be *isoparametric* if there are two functions $\tilde{a}(t)$ and $\tilde{b}(t)$ such that f satisfies (see Definition 4.1 for details)

$$\begin{cases} F(\nabla f)=\tilde{a}(f), \\ \Delta f=\tilde{b}(f). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

A function f satisfying the first equation of (1.3) is said to be *transnormal*.

It appears that the equation (1.3) and (1.1) are similar in form. But in the non Riemannian case, the gradient of a function and its Laplacian are nonlinear. In general case, they cannot be expressed explicitly. Even in the most special case, Randers-Minkowski space, the expressions of the gradient and Laplacian are also quite complicated, so that many methods of Riemannian geometry are no longer adequate. One has to find some new methods and techniques for handling equation (1.3).

The purpose of this paper is to study isoparametric functions and isoparametric hypersurfaces in a Finsler manifold $(M,F,d\mu)$, particularly, in a Minkowski space which is a generalization of the Euclidean space. We shall prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *On an n-dimensional Finsler manifold $(M,F,d\mu)$, a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if each regular level hypersurface N_t of f has constant $d\mu_{\boldsymbol{n}}$-mean curvature $H_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, where $\boldsymbol{n}=\frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$. Particularly, if M has constant flag curvature and constant $\mathbf{S}$-curvature, then a* *transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if all the principal curvatures of N_t are constant.*

The above theorem can be viewed as a generalization of the corresponding result in [2]. As well known, a Minkowski space is a vector space endowed with Minkowski metric which is Euclidean metric without quadratic restriction. It is natural to generalize the results in Euclidean spaces to Minkowski spaces. We consider a few special classes of hypersurfaces in Minkowski space (V, F), namely, the *Minkowski hypersphere* $\hat{S}_F^{n-1}(r) : F(x) = r$, the *reverse Minkowski hypersphere* $\hat{S}_{F_-}^{n-1}(r) : F(-x) = r$ and F^**-Minkowski cylinder* $\hat{S}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}^{m-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, where $\hat{S}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}^{m-1}(r)$ is the (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$, $\tilde{F}$ is the dual metric of $F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$ in $\bar{V}$, $\bar{V}^* = \bar{V}$ is an m-dimensional subspace of $V^* = V$ and F^* is the dual metric of F. In general, $\tilde{F} \neq F|_{\bar{V}}$(see Section 5 below for details).

Theorem 1.2. *In an n-dimensional Minkowski space (V, F) with the BH(resp. HT)-volume form $d\mu$, all the hyperplane, the Minkowski hypersphere $\hat{S}_{F_\pm}^{n-1}(r)$ and the F^*-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}^{m-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ must be isoparametric hypersurfaces with one or two distinct constant principal curvatures.*

Theorem 1.3. *In an n-dimensional Randers-Minkowski space (V, F) with the BH(resp. HT)-volume form $d\mu$, any isoparametric hypersurface has two distinct principal curvatures at most, which must be either a Minkowski hyperplane, a (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere $\hat{S}_{F_\pm}^{n-1}(r)$, or an (reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}^{m-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$.*

Theorem 1.1 ∼ 1.3 partially generalize the corresponding results in [1] and [2]. In fact, we also obtain some generalizations for more general case(see Section 4 and Section 5 for details).

The contents of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some fundamental concepts and formulas which are necessary for the present paper are given. The Gauss-Weingarten formulas and the Gauss-Codazzi equations of a hypersurface in $(M, F, d\mu)$ are established in Section 3. The Finslerian isoparametric hypersurfaces are discussed in Section 4. In Section 5 and Section 6, we obtain a Cartan type formula similar to (1.2) and give some classification theorems of isoparametric hypersurfaces in Minkowski spaces. Particularly, for the case of Finslerian isoparametric hypersurfaces in Randers-Minkowski spaces, we give the complete classification. Finally, in Example 4 of Section 6, we construct a function which is transnormal but not isoparametric. It shows that Theorem B in [6] does not hold in Finsler geometry.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Finsler manifolds.** Throughout this paper, we assume that M is an n-dimensional oriented smooth manifold. Let TM be the tangent bundle over M with local coordinates (x, y), where $x = (x^1, \cdots, x^n)$ and $y = (y^1, \cdots, y^n)$. A *Finsler metric* on M is a function $F : TM \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties: (i) F is smooth on $TM \backslash \{0\}$; (ii) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$; (iii) the induced quadratic form g is positive-definite, where

$$g := g_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \otimes dx^j, \ g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}.$$

Here and from now on, we will use the following convention of index ranges unless other stated:

$$1 \leq i, j, \cdots \leq n; \ 1 \leq a, b, \cdots \leq n - 1.$$

The projection $\pi : TM \longrightarrow M$ gives rise to the pull-back bundle π^*TM and its dual bundle π^*T^*M over $TM \backslash \{0\}$. In π^*T^*M there is a global section $\omega = [F]_{y^i} dx^i$, called the *Hilbert form*, whose dual is $\ell = \ell^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \ell^i = \frac{y^i}{F}$, called the *distinguished field*.

As is well known, on the pull-back bundle π^*TM there exists uniquely the *Chern connection* ∇ with $\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \omega_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ satisfying ([10])

$$\omega_j^i \wedge dx^j = 0,$$

$$dg_{ij} - g_{ik}\omega_j^k - g_{kj}\omega_i^k = 2FC_{ijk}\delta y^k, \qquad \delta y^k := \frac{1}{F}(dy^k + y^j \omega_j^k),$$

where $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$ is called the *Cartan tensor*. The curvature 2-forms of the Chern connection ∇ are

$$d\omega_j^i - \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i = \Omega_j^i := \frac{1}{2} R_{j\ kl}^{i} dx^k \wedge dx^l + P_{j\ kl}^{i} dx^k \wedge \delta y^l, \tag{2.1}$$

where $R_{j\ kl}^{i} = -R_{j\ lk}^{i}$. The *flag curvature tensor* is defined by

$$R^i{}_k := \ell^j R_{j\ kl}^{i} \ell^l, \qquad R_{jk} = g_{ij} R^i{}_k.$$

For a unit vector $V = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ with $g_{ij} y^i V^j = 0$, the *flag curvature* $K(y; V)$ is defined by

$$K(y; V) = R_{ij} V^i V^j.$$

The *Ricci curvature* for (M, F) is defined as

$$D_v^w X(x) := \left\{ v^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}(x) + \Gamma_{jk}^i(w) v^j X^k(x) \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n = \ell\}$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to g_y.

Let $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ be a vector field. Then the *covariant derivative* of X along $v = v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ with respect to $w \in T_xM \backslash \{0\}$ is defined by

$$D_v^w X(x) := \left\{ v^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}(x) + \Gamma^i_{jk}(w) v^j X^k(x) \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{2.3}$$

where Γ^i_{jk} denotes the connection coefficients of the Chern connection.

Let $\mathcal{L} : TM \longrightarrow T^*M$ denote the *Legendre transformation*, which satisfies $\mathcal{L}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(\lambda y) = \lambda \mathcal{L}(y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in TM \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{L} : TM \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow T^*M \setminus \{0\}$ is a norm-preserving C^∞ diffeomorphism and ([7])

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = F(y)[F]_{y^i}(y) dx^i = F(y)\omega, \ y \in TM \setminus 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For a smooth function $f : M \longrightarrow R$, the *gradient vector* of f at $x \in M$ is defined as $\nabla f(x) := \mathcal{L}^{-1}(df(x)) \in T_xM$, which can be written as

$$\nabla f(x) := \begin{cases} g^{ij}(x, \nabla f) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \ df(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, \ df(x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Set $M_f := \{x \in M | df(x) \neq 0\}$. We define $\nabla^2 f(x) \in T_x^*M \otimes T_xM$ for $x \in M_f$ by using the following covariant derivative

$$\nabla^2 f(v) := D_v^{\nabla f} \nabla f(x) \in T_xM, \ v \in T_xM. \tag{2.6}$$

Set

$$D^2 f(X, Y) := g_{\nabla f}(\nabla^2 f(X), Y) = g_{\nabla f}(D_X^{\nabla f}(\nabla f), Y). \tag{2.7}$$

Then we have([9])

$$g_{\nabla f}(D_X^{\nabla f}(\nabla f), Y) = D^2 f(X, Y) = D^2 f(Y, X) = g_{\nabla f}(D_Y^{\nabla f}(\nabla f), X), \tag{2.8}$$

for any $X, Y \in T_xM$.

Let $d\mu = \sigma(x) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ be an arbitrary volume form on (M, F). The *divergence* of a smooth vector field $V = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on M with respect to $d\mu$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{div} V := \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sigma V^i \right) = \frac{\partial V^i}{\partial x^i} + V^i \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial x^i}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then the *Finsler-Laplacian* of f can be defined by

$$\Delta f := \mathrm{div}(\nabla f) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sigma g^{ij}(\nabla f) f_j \right). \tag{2.10}$$

2.2. **Isometric immersion.** Let $(N, \bar{F})$ and (M, F) be Finsler manifolds of dimensions $m (< n)$ and n respectively. An immersion $\phi : (N, \bar{F}) \to (M, F)$ is called to be *isometric* if $\bar{F}(u, v) = F(\phi(u), d\phi(v))$ for $(u, v) \in TN$ with local coordinates $(u^1, \cdots, u^m, v^1, \cdots, v^m)$. Here and from now on, we will use the following convention of index ranges unless other stated:

$$1 \leq \alpha, \beta \cdots \leq m.$$

For an isometric immersion ϕ, we have

$$\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}(u,v) = g_{ij}(x,y)\phi^i_\alpha \phi^j_\beta,$$

where

$$\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{F}^2]_{v^\alpha v^\beta}, \quad x^i = \phi^i(u), \quad y^i = \phi^i_\alpha v^\alpha, \quad \phi^i_\alpha = \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial u^\alpha}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} h^i &= \phi^i_{\alpha\beta} v^\alpha v^\beta - \phi^i_\alpha \bar{G}^\alpha + G^i, \\ h(v) &:= \frac{h^i(v)}{\bar{F}^2(v)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \nabla_\ell (d\phi(\ell)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\phi^i_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial u^\alpha \partial u^\beta}$, $\bar{G}^\alpha$ and G^i are the geodesic coefficients of $(N, \bar{F})$ and (M, F) respectively and h is the *normal curvature vector field* ([12]). $(N, \bar{F})$ is said to be *totally geodesic* if $h = 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{V}(N) = \{\xi \in \phi^{-1}T^*M |\ \xi(d\phi(X)) = 0,\ \forall X \in TN\},$$

which is called the *normal bundle* of N in (M, F).

3. Theory of hypersurfaces

3.1. **Variation of the induced volume.** Now let $\phi : N \to M$ be an embedded hypersurface (i.e., $m = n - 1$) of Finsler manifold (M, F). For simplicity, we will use $(x, y) \in TN$, where $x = \phi(u)$, $y = d\phi v$ for $(u, v) \in TN$. For any $x \in N$, there exist exactly two unit *normal vectors* $\mathbf{n}_\pm$ such that

$$T_x(N) = \{X \in T_x(M) |\ g_{\mathbf{n}_\pm}(\mathbf{n}_\pm, X) = 0, \quad g_{\mathbf{n}_\pm}(\mathbf{n}_\pm, \mathbf{n}_\pm) = 1.\}$$

In fact, $\mathbf{n}_\pm$ are just $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\pm\nu)$, where $\nu \in \mathcal{V}(N)$ is a unit 1-form. If F is reversible, then $\mathbf{n}_- = -\mathbf{n}_+$.

Let $\mathbf{n} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\nu)$ be a given normal vector of N in (M, F), and put $\hat{g} := g_{\mathbf{n}}$. Let $d\mu_M = \sigma(x)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ be an arbitrary volume form on (M, F). The induced volume form on N determined by $d\mu_M$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_{\mathbf{n}} &= \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(u) du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{n-1} \\ &= \sigma(\phi(u))\phi^*(i_{\mathbf{n}}(dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n)),\ u \in N, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $i_{\mathbf{n}}$ denotes the inner multiplication with respect to $\mathbf{n}$.

If we put $x = \phi(u), z = (z^i_\alpha) = (\phi^i_\alpha)$, then we have

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(u) = \mathcal{F}(x, z).$$

Consider a smooth variation $\phi_t : N \to M$, $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, such that $\phi_0 = \phi$. ϕ_t induces a family of volume forms $d\mu_t = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}_t}(u)du$ on $\phi_t(N)$ with $d\mu_0 = d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathbf{n}_0 = \mathbf{n}$, where $du = du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{n-1}$. Let $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in TM$ be the *variation field* of $\{\phi_t\}$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(t) := \int_N d\mu_t$. As similar to [12], we can get that

$$\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(t)}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = -\int_N \mathcal{H}_{d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}}(X) d\mu_{\mathbf{n}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}}(X) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\left\{\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i_\alpha \partial z^j_\beta}\phi^j_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^j \partial z^i_\alpha}\phi^j_\alpha\right\} X^i. \tag{3.2}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}}$ is called the *$d\mu_{\boldsymbol{n}}$-mean curvature form* of ϕ with respect to $\mathbf{n}$. Define

$$H_{\mathbf{n}} := \mathcal{H}_{d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{n}) \tag{3.3}$$

We call $H_{\mathbf{n}}$ the *$d\mu_{\boldsymbol{n}}$-mean curvature* of N in (M, F).

Remark 3.1. The induced volume form (3.1) is determined by the volume form $d\mu_M$ of (M, F) and the normal vector $\mathbf{n}$. In [12] and [11], the induced volume forms (e.g., BH-volume form and HT-volume form) are determined by the induced metric $\bar{F}$ from F, respectively. Hence, in general, the mean curvature form (3.2) is different from that in [12] and [11]. In Riemannian case, they are all same.

3.2. **Gauss-Weingarten formulas.** For any tangent vector $y \in T_xN$ at $x \in N$, there is a unique geodesic $\bar{\gamma}$ in $(N, \bar{F})$ such that the curve $\gamma(t) := \phi \circ \bar{\gamma}(t)$ satisfies $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = y$. Define

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(y) := \hat{g}(\mathbf{n}, D^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}(0)), \qquad y \in T_xN, \tag{3.4}$$

where D is the covariant derivative defined by (2.3). $\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(y)$ is called the *normal curvature* of N with respect to $\mathbf{n}$ ([7]). From (14.16) in [7], it is easy to see that

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(y) = F^2(y)\hat{g}(\mathbf{n}, h(y)) = F^2(y)\nu(h(y)), \; y \in T_xN, \tag{3.5}$$

where $h(y)$ is the normal curvature vector field defined by (2.11). It is obvious that $(N, \bar{F})$ is totally geodesic if and only if $\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(y) = 0, \forall y \in T_xN$. In general, $\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(y)$ and $h(y)$ are nonlinear.

The *Weingarten formula* with respect to $\hat{g}$ is given by

$$D^{\mathbf{n}}_X \mathbf{n} = \hat{\nabla}^{\perp}_X \mathbf{n} - \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X),$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TN)$, $\hat{\nabla}^{\perp}$ is the *induced normal connection*, and $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}} : T_xN \to T_xN$ is called the *Weingarten transformation* (or *Shape operator*). It is obvious that

$$\hat{\nabla}^{\perp}_X \mathbf{n} = \hat{g}(D^{\mathbf{n}}_X \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[X\hat{g}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})\right]\mathbf{n} = 0.$$

From [7] (P.222) we know that $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is linear and self-adjoint with respect to $\hat{g}$. Moreover, we call the eigenvalues of $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}$, $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{n-1}$, the *principal curvatures* of N with respect to $\mathbf{n}$. If $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X) = kX, \forall X \in \Gamma(TN)$, or equivalently, $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{n-1}$, we call N a *$\hat{g}$-totally umbilic* hypersurface of Finsler manifold (M, F).

Define

$$\hat{h}(X, Y) := \hat{g}(\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X), Y) = \hat{g}(\mathbf{n}, D^{\mathbf{n}}_X Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TN). \tag{3.6}$$

It is clear that $\hat{h}$ is bilinear and $\hat{h}(X,Y)=\hat{h}(Y,X)$. Moreover, we define

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y := (D^{\mathbf{n}}_X Y)^{\top} = D^{\mathbf{n}}_X Y - \hat{h}(X,Y)\mathbf{n}, \quad X,Y \in \Gamma(TN). \tag{3.7}$$

Then it is easy to prove that $\hat{\nabla}$ is a torsion-free linear connection on N and satisfies

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{g})(Y,Z) = 2C_{\mathbf{n}}(D^{\mathbf{n}}_X \mathbf{n}, Y, Z) = -2C_{\mathbf{n}}(\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X), Y, Z), \tag{3.8}$$

which shows that $\hat{\nabla}$ is not the Levi-Civita connection on Riemannian manifold $(N,\hat{g})$. But we also have the *Gauss-Weingarten formulas*

$$D^{\mathbf{n}}_X Y = \hat{\nabla}_X Y + \hat{h}(X,Y)\mathbf{n}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$D^{\mathbf{n}}_X \mathbf{n} = -\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X), \qquad X,Y \in \Gamma(TN). \tag{3.10}$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame field with respect to $\hat{g}$ such that $e_n = \mathbf{n}$. Set([7] [9])

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}} := \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \hat{h}(e_a, e_a), \tag{3.11}$$

which is independent of the choice of the local frame field $\{e_a\}_{a=1}^{n-1}$. $\hat{h}$ and $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are called the *$\hat{g}$-second fundamental form* and the *$\hat{g}$-mean curvature* of N in (M,F), respectively. It follows from (3.6) and (3.11) that

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a, \tag{3.12}$$

where k_a are principal curvatures of N.

The following lemma gives the relationship between the $\hat{g}$-second fundamental form and the normal curvatures.

Lemma 3.1. ([7]) *Let (M,F) be a Berwald manifold. Then we have*

$$\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}(X) = \hat{h}(X,X) = \hat{g}(\hat{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(X), X), \tag{3.13}$$

for any $X \in T_x(N)$.

From the Lemma, we have immediately

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a), \qquad \Lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a) = \hat{g}(\mathbf{n}, h(e_a)) = k_a,$$

where $\{e_a\}_{a=1}^{n-1}$ is an orthonormal frame field comprised of eigenvectors of $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M,F) be a Berwald manifold. Then $(N,\bar{F})$ is a totally geodesic hypersurfaces if and only if $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{n-1} = 0$.*

3.3. **Gauss-Codazzi equations.** Let the curvature tenser of the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ be

$$\hat{R}(X,Y) = \hat{\nabla}_X\hat{\nabla}_Y - \hat{\nabla}_Y\hat{\nabla}_X - \hat{\nabla}_{[X,Y]},\ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TN). \tag{3.14}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(X,Y)Z = {} & D^{\mathbf{n}}_X D^{\mathbf{n}}_Y Z - D^{\mathbf{n}}_Y D^{\mathbf{n}}_X Z - D^{\mathbf{n}}_{[X,Y]} Z \\ & + \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,Z)\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X) - \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Z)\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y) \\ & - (\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(Y,Z)\mathbf{n} + (\hat{\nabla}_Y \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(X,Z)\mathbf{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} &= D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \left(\Gamma^l_{jk}(\mathbf{n})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial(\Gamma^s_{jk}(\mathbf{n}))}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^l_{jk}(\mathbf{n})\Gamma^s_{il}(\mathbf{n})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \\ &= \left\{\frac{\delta\Gamma^s_{jk}}{\delta x^i}(\mathbf{n}) + \frac{\partial\Gamma^s_{jk}}{\partial y^l}(\mathbf{n})\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^l}{\partial x^i} + N^l_i(\mathbf{n})\right) + \Gamma^l_{jk}(\mathbf{n})\Gamma^s_{il}(\mathbf{n})\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \in TM$,

$$\begin{aligned} & D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{X}} D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{Y}} \tilde{Z} - D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{Y}} D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Z} - D^{\mathbf{n}}_{[\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}]} \tilde{Z} \\ & \quad = R_{\mathbf{n}}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} - P_{\mathbf{n}}(\tilde{Y}, D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{X}}\mathbf{n})\tilde{Z} + P_{\mathbf{n}}(\tilde{X}, D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\tilde{Y}}\mathbf{n})\tilde{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $R_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{n}}$ are the Chern-curvature tensors of (M,F) defined by (2.1) in $y = \mathbf{n}$ and $P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = P^i_{jkl}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. It follows from (3.15) and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(X,Y)Z = {} & R_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Y)Z + P_{\mathbf{n}}(Y, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X))Z - P_{\mathbf{n}}(X, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y))Z \\ & + \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,Z)\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X) - \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Z)\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y) \\ & - (\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(Y,Z)\mathbf{n} + (\hat{\nabla}_Y \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(X,Z)\mathbf{n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in TN$. Since $\hat{g}(P_{\mathbf{n}}(Y, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X))Z, \mathbf{n}) = L(Y, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X), Z)$where L is the Landsberg curvature of (M,F), we obtain the *Gauss-Codazzi equations* as follows.

Theorem 3.1 For the induced connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on hypersurfaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}(\hat{R}(X,Y)Z, W) = {} & \hat{g}(R_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Y)Z, W) + \hat{g}(P_{\mathbf{n}}(Y, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X))Z - P_{\mathbf{n}}(X, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y))Z, W) \\ & + \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,Z)\hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,W) - \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Z)\hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,W) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}(R_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Y)Z, \mathbf{n}) = {} & (\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(Y,Z) - (\hat{\nabla}_Y \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(X,Z) \\ & + L(X, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y), Z) - L(Y, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(X), Z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Particularly, in Minkowski space, the Gauss-Codazzi equations can be reduced as

$$\hat{g}(\hat{R}(X,Y)Z, W) = \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,Z)\hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,W) - \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(X,Z)\hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(Y,W), \tag{3.20}$$

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(Y,Z) - (\hat{\nabla}_Y \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}})(X,Z) = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

3.4. **Regular level surfaces of functions.** Let $f : U \subset M \to R$ be a C^2 function such that

$$\begin{cases} N \cap U = \{x \in U | f(x) = C\}; \\ df(x) \neq 0, \quad x \in N \cap U, \end{cases}$$

where U is a neighborhood of some $x_0 \in N$. Then we have $0 = Yf = g_{\nabla f}(\nabla f, Y)$ for any $Y \in \Gamma(T(N \cap U))$. Therefore, $\frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)} |_{N \cap U}$ is a normal vector of $N \cap U$. Here we have used the condition that $df \neq 0$ on $N \cap U$.

By choosing $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$, one can obtain from (2.7), (2.8) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(X, Y) =& g_{\nabla f} \left(\hat{A}_{\frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}}(X), Y \right) = -g_{\nabla f} \left(D_X^{\nabla f} \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}, Y \right) \\ =& -\frac{1}{F(\nabla f)} g_{\nabla f} \left(D_X^{\nabla f} \nabla f, Y \right) = -\frac{1}{F(\nabla f)} D^2 f(X, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local $g_{\nabla f}$-orthonormal basis such that $e_n = \mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$. Then we have*

$$F(\nabla f) \hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}} = -\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} D^2 f(e_a, e_a) = -\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} f_{aa}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $f_{aa} = D^2 f(e_a, e_a)$.

Lemma 3.4. ([7]) *Let $(M, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Finsler manifold and $f : M \to R$ a smooth function. Then on M_f we have*

$$\Delta f = tr_{g_{\nabla f}}(D^2 f) - S(\nabla f) = \sum_i f_{ii} - S(\nabla f). \tag{3.24}$$

4. Isoparametric hypersurfaces in a Finsler manifold

Similar to the Riemannian case([4], [6]), we give tha following

Definition 4.1. Let f be a non-constant continuous function defined on a Finsler manifold (M, F) and be smooth in $M \setminus S$, where S is a close set with measure zero in $(M, F, d\mu)$. Set $M_f := \{x \in M \setminus S | df(x) \neq 0\}$ and $J = f(M_f)$. f is said to be an *isoparametric function* on $(M, F, d\mu)$ if there is a smooth function $\tilde{a}(t)$ and a continuous function $\tilde{b}(t)$ defined on J such that

$$\begin{cases} F(\nabla f) = \tilde{a}(f), \\ \Delta f = \tilde{b}(f) \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

hold on M_f. All the regular level surfaces $N_t = f^{-1}(t)$ form an *isoparametric family*, each of which is called an *isoparametric hypersurface* in $(M, F, d\mu)$. A function f satisfying only the first equation of (4.1) is said to be *transnormal*.

A geodesic segment $\gamma(s)$ is called an *f-segment* if $f(\gamma(s))$ is an increasing function of s and $\dot{\gamma}(s) = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$ in M_f.

Note that an f-segment is necessarily parametrized by its arc length.

Lemma 4.1. *Let f be an isoparametric function on $(M, F, d\mu)$ and $\varphi(t)$ be a non-constant smooth function defined on J satisfying $\varphi'(t) \geq 0$. Then $\tilde{f} = \varphi \circ f$ is also isoparametric on $(M, F, d\mu)$.*

Proof. If $\varphi'(t) > 0$, $g_{\nabla(\varphi\circ f)} = g_{\nabla f}$. Then in $M_{\tilde{f}}$, we have

$$F(\nabla(\varphi \circ f)) = \varphi' F(\nabla f),\ \Delta(\varphi \circ f) = \varphi'' F(\nabla f)^2 + \varphi' \Delta f.$$

It is obvious that $\tilde{f} = \varphi \circ f$ also satisfies (4.1). □

4.1. **Transnormal functions and parallel level hypersurfaces.** First of all, we generalize some results of transnormal functions in Riemannian geometry.

Lemma 4.2. *Let f be a transnormal function on a connected and forward complete Finsler manifold (M, F). Then on M_f, we have the following results*
(1) There is a function ρ defined on (M, F) such that $\nabla\rho = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$.
(2) The integral curves of the gradient vector field ∇f in M_f are all geodesics(f-segments).
(3) The regular level hypersurfaces $N_t = f^{-1}(t)$ are parallel along the direction of ∇f.
(4) $d(x, N_{t_2}) = d(N_{t_1}, y) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(t)} dt$ for any $[t_1, t_2] \in J$, $x \in N_{t_1}$, $y \in N_{t_2}$ and the f-segments are the shortest curves among all curves connecting N_{t_1} and N_{t_2}.

Proof. (1) Let $F(\nabla f) = \tilde{a}(f)$ and define functions

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(t)} dt,\ t_0, t \in J, \\ \rho(x) &= s(f(x)),\ x \in M. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Then $\nabla\rho = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$, which shows that $g_{\nabla f} = g_{\nabla\rho}$ and $g_{\nabla\rho}(\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho) = 1$.

(2) Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthogonal frame field with respect to $g_{\nabla\rho}$ such that $e_n = \nabla\rho$. From (2.8), we have

$$g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho, e_a) = g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho) = \frac{1}{2} e_a \left[g_{\nabla\rho}(\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho)\right] = 0,$$

$$g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho) = \frac{1}{2} e_n \left[g_{\nabla\rho}(\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho)\right] = 0.$$

Thus $D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho = 0$, that is, all the integral curves of $\nabla\rho$ are normal geodesics.

(3) Since $N_t = f^{-1}(t) = \rho^{-1}(s(t))$, the regular level hypersurfaces $N_t = f^{-1}(t)$ are parallel along the direction of ∇f.

(4) For any $t_1, t_2 \in J,\ t_1 < t_2$, and any $x_1 \in N_{t_1}, x_2 \in N_{t_2}$, let $\sigma : [0,l] \to M_f$ be a piecewise C^1 curve with $\sigma(0) = x_1$ and $\sigma(l) = x_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma) =& \int_0^l F(\dot\sigma(s))ds \geq \int_0^l g_{\nabla\rho}(\nabla\rho, \dot\sigma(s))ds \\ =& \int_0^l \frac{1}{\tilde a(f(\sigma))} df(\dot\sigma(s))ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\tilde a(t)} dt \\ =& s(t_2) - s(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if $\sigma(s)$ is an f-segment. □

Remark 4.1. In a Finsler manifold, there is a bit difference in the definition of parallel hypersurfaces. Because in general, $d(x_1, x_2) \neq d(x_2, x_1)$ unless the Finsler metric is reversible. That is, N_1 is parallel to N_2 does not mean that N_2 is parallel to N_1.

From (2.8) we see that $\nabla^2 f$ is self-adjoint with respect to $g_{\nabla f}$ and

$$\nabla^2 f(\nabla\rho) = D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}(\tilde a(f)\nabla\rho) = \tilde a(f)\tilde a'(f)\nabla\rho,$$

which implies taht $\nabla\rho$ is an eigenvector. So we can choose eigenvectors of $\nabla^2 f$ to form an orthogonal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with respect to $g_{\nabla\rho}$ such that $e_n = \nabla\rho$. By using (3.10), we know that

$$\lambda_a e_a = \nabla^2 f(e_a) = D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}(\tilde a(f)\nabla\rho) = \tilde a(f) D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho = -\tilde a(f)\hat A_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a),$$

which shows that each of $\{e_a\}_{a=1}^{n-1}$ is also an eigenvector of $\hat A_{\mathbf{n}}$. Thus we have

Lemma 4.3. *Let f be a transnormal function on Finsler manifold (M,F). Then ∇f is an eigenvector of $\nabla^2 f$. Moreover, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ is the eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 f$, where $\nabla^2 f(\nabla f) = \lambda_n \nabla f$, then*

$$\lambda_a|_{N_t} = -\tilde a(f)k_a,\ \lambda_n = \tilde a(f)\tilde a'(f), \tag{4.3}$$

where $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}$ are the principal curvatures of N_t.

We now prove the following

Lemma 4.4. *Let f be a transnormal function on Finsler manifold $(M, F, d\mu)$, then on N_t, we have*

$$\Delta f = -\tilde a(t)H_{\mathbf{n}} + \tilde a'(t)\tilde a(t), \tag{4.4}$$

$$H_{\mathbf{n}} = \hat H_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{S(\nabla f)}{F(\nabla f)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{F}(\nabla \mathbf{f})}$, $H_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat H_{\mathbf{n}}$ are the $d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}$-mean curvature and $\hat g$-mean curvature defined by (3.3) and (3.11), respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2(1), $\mathbf{n} = \nabla\rho = \rho^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\nu = d\rho = \rho_i dx^i$. Take a new special local coordinate system $\{(u^a, s)\}$ in a neighborhood U such that $\rho(x(u^a, s)) = s$, that is $d\rho = ds$. Then

$$N_t|_U = \{(u^a, s))|s = s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(t)}dt\}.$$

Set $dx^i = z^i_a du^a + z^i_n ds$, $(z^i_j)^{-1} = (w^i_j)$ and let $\phi : N_t \to (M, F)$ be embedding. Then located on N_t, we have

$$z^i_a = \phi^i_a,\ z^i_n = \rho^i,\ w^n_i = \rho_i,\ w^a_i\rho^i = 0$$

and

$$d\mu_M = \sigma(x)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n = \sigma(x(u^a, s))\det(z^i_j)du \wedge ds.$$

From (3.1), we have

$$d\mu_{\mathbf{n}} =\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(u)du = \sigma(\phi(u))\det(z^i_j)du, \tag{4.6}$$

that is, $\mathcal{F}(x^i, z^i_a) = \sigma(x)\det(z^i_j)$. Setting $\eta = \det(z^i_j)$ and using (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$H_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\left\{\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i_a\partial z^j_b}\phi^j_{ab} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^j\partial z^i_a}\phi^j_a\right\}\rho^i. \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i_a} =&\sigma\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial z^i_a} = \sigma(x)\eta w^a_i,\\ \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^i} =&\eta\left(\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x^i} + \sigma(x)w^k_l\frac{\partial z^l_k}{\partial x^i}\right).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i_a\partial z^j_b}\phi^j_{ab} =&\sigma(x)\eta(w^a_iw^b_j - w^a_jw^b_i)\phi^j_{ab} = 0,\\ \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial x^j\partial z^i_a}\phi^j_a\rho^i =&\eta w^a_i\left(\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x^j} + \sigma(x)\rho_k\frac{\partial\rho^k}{\partial x^j}\right)\phi^j_a\rho^i - \sigma\eta w^k_i\frac{\partial z^l_k}{\partial x^j}w^a_l\phi^j_a\rho^i\\ =& - \sigma\eta\left(\rho_i\frac{\partial\rho^l}{\partial x^l} - \rho_j\rho_k\frac{\partial\rho^k}{\partial x^i}\right)\rho^i.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$H_{\mathbf{n}} = -\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x^i}\rho^i + \sigma(x)\frac{\partial\rho^i}{\partial x^i}\right) = -\Delta\rho.$$

It follows that

$$\Delta f = \mathrm{div}(\tilde{a}(t)\nabla\rho) = -\tilde{a}(t)H_{\mathbf{n}} + \tilde{a}'(t)\tilde{a}(t).$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f =&\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\nabla f}}(\nabla^2 f) - S(\nabla f)\\ =&\sum_i \lambda_i - S(\nabla f)\\ =&-\tilde{a}(t)\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}} + \tilde{a}(t)\tilde{a}'(t) - S(\nabla f),\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that (4.5) holds. □

4.2. **Isoparametric functions and isoparametric hypersurfaces.** From (4.1) and Lemma 4.4, we obtain immediately the following

Theorem 4.1 On a Finsler manifold $(M, F, d\mu)$, a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if each regular level hypersurface N_t of f has constant $d\mu_{\mathbf{n}}$-mean curvature $H_{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$. Particularly, when M has constant **S**-curvature, a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if N_t has constant $\hat{g}$-mean curvature $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

Further, we have the following

Lemma 4.5. *Let f be an isoparametric function on Finsler manifold $(M, F, d\mu)$ with constant $\mathbf{S}$-curvature $(n+1)cF$, ρ be a function defined by (4.2) and $e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, e_n = \nabla\rho$ be the eigenvectors of $\nabla^2 f$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a = \tilde{a}'(t) - \frac{\tilde{b}(t)}{\tilde{a}(t)} - (n+1)c, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial k_a}{\partial \rho} = K(\nabla\rho; e_a) + k_a^2, \quad a = 1, \cdots, n-1, \tag{4.9}$$

where $K(\nabla\rho; e_a)$ is the flag curvature of (M, F).

Proof. (4.8) follows immediately from (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5).

On the other hand, observe that

$$k_a = g_{\nabla\rho}(\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a), e_a) = -g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, e_a), \quad a = 1, \cdots, n-1,$$

and note that $D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho = 0$. From (3.16) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial k_a}{\partial \rho} =&\nabla\rho\left(g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, e_a)\right)\\ =&g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a) + 2C_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, e_a, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho)\\ =&g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho, e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{[\nabla\rho, e_a]}\nabla\rho, e_a)\\ &+ g_{\nabla\rho}(R_{\nabla\rho}(\nabla\rho, e_a)\nabla\rho, e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a)\\ =&-K(\nabla\rho; e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{[\nabla\rho, e_a]}\nabla\rho, e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a).\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, by the torsion freeness of the Chern connection, it follows from (4.10) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial k_a}{\partial \rho} =& -K(\nabla\rho; e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a - D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho}\nabla\rho, e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a)\\ =& -K(\nabla\rho; e_a) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a - D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho) + g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a)\\ =& -K(\nabla\rho; e_a) + 2g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a) - g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&2g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a) = 2g_{\nabla\rho}(k_a e_a, D^{\nabla\rho}_{\nabla\rho}e_a) = k_a\nabla\rho(g_{\nabla\rho}(e_a, e_a)) = 0,\\ &g_{\nabla\rho}(D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho, D^{\nabla\rho}_{e_a}\nabla\rho) = g_{\nabla\rho}(k_a e_a, k_a e_a) = k_a^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (4.9). □

Theorem 4.2 Let $(M, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Finsler manifold with constant flag curvature and constant **S**-curvature. Then a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if each regular level surface of f has constant principal curvatures.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, it suffices to prove that each regular level surface of an isoparametric function f has constant principal curvatures. From (4.9), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a = \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla\rho) + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^2. \tag{4.11}$$

Set $K(\nabla\rho, e_a) = C$. We know from (4.8) and (4.2) that $\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a$ is only a function of $f = t$ and (4.11) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{a}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a = (n-1)C + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^2. \tag{4.12}$$

This indicates that $\sum\limits_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^2$ is also a function of t. It is easily seen from (4.9) that

$$\tilde{a}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^2 = 2C\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^3.$$

This implies that $\sum\limits_{a=1}^{n-1} k_a^3$ is also a function of f, and so on. By the properties of symmetric polynomials, we conclude that k_a is constant on N_t for any a. □

The same result can be obtained by (4.8) and (4.11) if $n = 3$ and (M, F) has constant Ricci curvature. **Theorem 4.3** Let $(M, F, d\mu)$ be a 3-dimensional Finsler manifold with constant **S**-curvature and constant Ricci curvature. Then a transnormal function f is isoparametric if and only if the both principal curvatures of N_t with respect to $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{F(\nabla f)}$ are constant.

5. Isoparametric hypersurfaces in Minkowski spaces

In this section, we suppose that $(V, F, d\mu)$ is an n-dimensional Minkowski space and $d\mu$ is the BH-volume form or HT-volume form. Then the **S**-curvature of $(V, F, d\mu)$ vanishes identically. Let F^* be the dual metric of F, which is also a Minkowski metric, and $g^{*ij}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}[F^{*2}(\xi)]_{\xi_i\xi_j}$. Then (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} F^*(df) = \tilde{a}(f) \\ g^{*ij}(df) f_{ij} = \tilde{b}(f), \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$.

5.1. **Cartan formulas in Minkowski spaces.** Let N be a hypersurface in $(V, F, d\mu)$, $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame field such that $e_n = \mathbf{n}$ and $e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}$ be the eigenvectors of $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}$. Set $\hat{C}_{abc} = C_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a, e_b, e_c)$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_{abc} = \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_{e_c} e_a, e_b)$. It follows from (3.8), (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{abc} = 2k_c \hat{C}_{abc} - \hat{\Gamma}_{bac}, \ \forall a, b, c, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\hat{K}(e_a \wedge e_b) = \hat{g}(\hat{R}(e_a, e_b)e_b, e_a) = k_a k_b, \ a \neq b, \tag{5.3}$$

$$0 = e_a(k_b)\delta_{bc} - k_c \hat{\Gamma}_{bca} - k_b \hat{\Gamma}_{cba} - e_b(k_a)\delta_{ac} + k_c \hat{\Gamma}_{acb} + k_a \hat{\Gamma}_{cab}, \ a \neq b, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\hat{K}(e_a \wedge e_b)$ is the sectional curvature of the hypersurfaces N with respect to the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ and metric $\hat{g}$. On the other hand, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}(e_a \wedge e_b) &= \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_{e_a} \hat{\nabla}_{e_b} e_b, e_a) - \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_{e_b} \hat{\nabla}_{e_a} e_b, e_a) - \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_{[e_a, e_b]} e_b, e_a) \\ &= e_a(\hat{\Gamma}_{bab}) - e_b(\hat{\Gamma}_{baa}) + \sum_c \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{bcb}\hat{\Gamma}_{caa} - \hat{\Gamma}_{bca}\hat{\Gamma}_{cab} - \hat{\Gamma}_{bca}\hat{\Gamma}_{bac} + \hat{\Gamma}_{acb}\hat{\Gamma}_{bac} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Let N be an isoparametric hypersurface. Then it follows from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$(k_c - k_b)\hat{\Gamma}_{bca} = (k_c - k_a)\hat{\Gamma}_{acb}, \ \forall c. \tag{5.6}$$

When $k_{\tilde{a}} = k_a \neq k_{\tilde{b}} = k_b$, one can obtain by (5.2) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\Gamma}_{ba\tilde{a}} = \hat{\Gamma}_{b\tilde{a}a} = \hat{\Gamma}_{ab\tilde{b}} = \hat{\Gamma}_{a\tilde{b}b} = 0, \\ &\hat{\Gamma}_{ba\tilde{b}} = 2k_b \hat{C}_{ab\tilde{b}}, \ \hat{\Gamma}_{ab\tilde{a}} = 2k_a \hat{C}_{a\tilde{a}b}, \\ &\hat{\Gamma}_{aac} = k_c \hat{C}_{aac}, \ \hat{\Gamma}_{bbc} = k_c \hat{C}_{bbc}, \ \forall c. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Set $\mathcal{C}_{ijkl} = \frac{\partial C_{ijk}}{\partial y^l}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{abcd} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a, e_b, e_c, e_d)$. We obtain

$$e_a(\hat{\Gamma}_{bab}) = 2k_b e_a(\hat{C}_{bab}) = 2k_b \left(-k_a \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{aabb} + 2\sum_c \hat{C}_{abc}\hat{\Gamma}_{bca} + \sum_c \hat{C}_{bbc}\hat{\Gamma}_{aca} \right). \tag{5.8}$$

Substituting (5.8) and (5.3) into (5.5) and using (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_a k_b =& - 2k_a k_b \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{aabb} + \sum_{k_{\tilde{a}}=k_a} \left(2k_b \hat{C}_{bb\tilde{a}}\hat{\Gamma}_{a\tilde{a}a} + \hat{\Gamma}_{b\tilde{a}b}\hat{\Gamma}_{\tilde{a}aa} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k_{\tilde{b}}=k_b} \left(4k_b \hat{C}_{ab\tilde{b}}\hat{\Gamma}_{b\tilde{b}a} + 2k_b \hat{C}_{bb\tilde{b}}\hat{\Gamma}_{a\tilde{b}a} - \hat{\Gamma}_{b\tilde{b}a}\hat{\Gamma}_{\tilde{b}ab} - \hat{\Gamma}_{b\tilde{b}a}\hat{\Gamma}_{ba\tilde{b}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} \Big(4k_b \hat{C}_{abc}\hat{\Gamma}_{bca} + 2k_b \hat{C}_{bbc}\hat{\Gamma}_{aca} \\ &+ \hat{\Gamma}_{bcb}\hat{\Gamma}_{caa} - \hat{\Gamma}_{bca}\hat{\Gamma}_{cab} - \hat{\Gamma}_{bca}\hat{\Gamma}_{bac} + \hat{\Gamma}_{acb}\hat{\Gamma}_{bac} \Big), \ k_a \neq k_b. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.7) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_a k_b =& k_a k_b \left(-2\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{aabb} + 4\sum_c \hat{C}_{aac}\hat{C}_{bbc} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} \left(\frac{k_c - k_a}{k_c - k_b} - \frac{k_a - k_c}{k_a - k_b} - \frac{(k_c - k_a)^2}{(k_c - k_b)(k_a - k_b)} \right) \hat{\Gamma}^2_{acb} \\ &+ \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} k_b \hat{C}_{abc}\hat{\Gamma}_{acb} \left(\frac{k_c - k_a}{k_c - k_b} + \frac{k_a - k_c}{k_a - k_b} + \frac{(k_c - k_a)^2}{(k_c - k_b)(k_a - k_b)} \right) \\ =& k_a k_b \left(-2\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{aabb} + 4\sum_c \hat{C}_{aac}\hat{C}_{bbc} \right) + 2 \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} \frac{k_c - k_a}{k_c - k_b} \hat{\Gamma}^2_{acb}, \ k_a \neq k_b. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

For any $y, X, Y \in V$, we define the *Cartan curvature* as

$$Q_y(X, Y) = \frac{2F^2(y)}{g_y(X, X) g_y(Y, Y)} \left(2\sum_i C_y(X, X, e_i) C_y(Y, Y, e_i) - \mathcal{C}_y(X, X, Y, Y) \right), \tag{5.10}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal frame of V with respect to g_y. By (5.9) we have

$$k_a k_b (1 - Q_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a, e_b)) = 2 \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} \frac{k_c - k_a}{k_c - k_b} \hat{\Gamma}^2_{acb}, \ k_a \neq k_b, \ g > 2, \tag{5.11}$$

$$k_a k_b (1 - Q_{\mathbf{n}}(e_a, e_b)) = 0, \ k_a \neq k_b, \ g = 2. \tag{5.12}$$

Here and from now on, we suppose that the isoparametric hypersurface N_t has g distinct constant principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_g$ and the multiplicity of κ_r is m_r, $r = 1, 2, \cdots, g$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Minkowski space satisfying $Q_y(X,Y) = q(y) \neq 1$ and $C_y(X,Y,Z) = 0$ for any $y, X, Y, Z \in V$, where y, X, Y, Z are all orthogonal to each other with respect to g_y. Then for any isoparametric hypersurface with principal curvatures $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \ldots < \kappa_g (g \geq 2)$, we have the following* ***Cartan type formula***

$$\sum_{r \neq s} m_r \frac{\kappa_s \kappa_r}{\kappa_s - \kappa_r} = 0, \ \ s = 1, \ldots, g. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. It is obvious when $g = 2$. When $g > 2$, we know from (5.2) that $\Gamma_{abc} = -\Gamma_{bac}$, for any $a \neq b \neq c \neq a$, and (5.11) can be written as

$$k_a k_b (1 - q(\mathbf{n})) = 2 \sum_{k_c \neq k_a, k_b} \frac{k_c - k_a}{k_c - k_b} \hat{\Gamma}^2_{acb}, \ \ k_a \neq k_b. \tag{5.14}$$

By (5.2) and (5.6), we have

$$(k_a - k_c)\hat{\Gamma}_{acb} = (k_c - k_b)\hat{\Gamma}_{cba} = (k_b - k_a)\hat{\Gamma}_{bac}. \tag{5.15}$$

Denote

$$\lambda_{abc} := \frac{(k_a - k_b)}{\sqrt{|1 - q(\mathbf{n})|}} \hat{\Gamma}_{abc},$$

$$\rho_{rst} := \sum_{k_a = \kappa_r, k_b = \kappa_s, k_c = \kappa_t} \frac{\lambda^2_{abc}}{(k_b - k_a)(k_a - k_c)(k_b - k_c)},$$

where $1 \leq r, s, t \leq g$ and r, s, t are distinct. Then ρ_{rst} are skew-symmetric with respect to any two indexes. Thus (5.12) can be written as

$$\frac{m_r m_s \kappa_r \kappa_s}{\kappa_s - \kappa_r} = \pm \sum_{t \neq r, s} \rho_{rst} := \rho_{rs}, \ \ r \neq s. \tag{5.16}$$

It follows that

$$m_s \sum_{r \neq s} m_r \frac{\kappa_s \kappa_r}{\kappa_s - \kappa_r} = \pm \sum_{r \neq s} \rho_{rs} = \pm \sum_{r, t \neq s} \rho_{rst} = 0, \ \ s = 1, \ldots, g. \tag{5.17}$$

□

Remark 5.1. There are non-Euclidean Minkowski metrics, for example Randers-Minkowski metrics, satisfying the conditions in Lemma 5.1(see Section 6 for details).

When $g > 2$, using (5.16) and (5.17), a similar argument as in [2] yields a contradiction. Thus we have

Theorem 5.1 Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Minkowski space satisfying $Q_y(X,Y) = q(y) \neq 1$ and $C(X,Y,Z) = 0$ for any $y, X, Y, Z \in V$, where y, X, Y, Z are all orthogonal to each other with respect to g_y for $n > 3$. Then any isoparametric hypersurface in $(V, F, d\mu)$ has two distinct principal curvatures at most.

5.2. **Isoparametric hypersurfaces with $g = 1$.** In (V, F), the hypersurfaces $\hat{S}^{n-1}_{F_+}(x_0, r) = \{x \in V | F(x - x_0) = r\}$ and $\hat{S}^{n-1}_{F_-}(x_0, r) = \{x \in V | F(x_0 - x) = r\}$ are said to be the *Minkowski hypersphere* and the *reverse Minkowski hypersphere* of radius r centered at point x_0, respectively.

Remark 5.2. If F is reversible, then $\hat{S}^{n-1}_{F_+}(x_0, r) = \hat{S}^{n-1}_{F_-}(x_0, r)$. In general, they are different.

Theorem 5.2. *In an n-dimensional Minkowski space $(V, F, d\mu)$, any hyperplane, Minkowski hypersphere and reverse Minkowski hypersphere with radius r are all isoparametric, which are also $\hat{g}$-totally umbilic and have constant principal curvatures 0, $\frac{-1}{r}$, $\frac{1}{r}$ and constant sectional curvatures 0, $\frac{1}{r^2}$, $\frac{1}{r^2}$, respectively.*

Proof. For a linear function f in space V, $F^*(df)$ is a constant. It is obvious that f satisfies equations (5.1) with $\tilde{a}(t)$ =const., $\tilde{b}(t) = 0$ and $\nabla^2 f = 0$. From Lemma 4.3 and (5.3), we see that $k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_{n-1} = 0$ and $\hat{K} = 0$.

Since the Minkowski metric is independent of the point $x \in V$, that is, $F(x, y) = F(y), y \in T_x V = V$, it suffices to consider Minkowski hyperspheres centered at the origin and put $f(x) = \pm\frac{1}{2}F^2(\pm x)$, $\forall x \in V$. Then we have from (2.4) that

$$df = FF_{y^i}dx^i|_{y=\pm x} = \mathcal{L}(\pm x), \quad f_{ij} = \pm[\frac{1}{2}F^2]_{y^i y^j}|_{y=\pm x} = \pm g_{ij}(\pm x).$$

Thus

$$\nabla f = \pm x, \qquad F^*(df) = F(\pm x) = \sqrt{\pm 2f(x)},$$
$$g^{*ij}(df)f_{ij} = \pm g^{ij}(\pm x)g_{ij}(\pm x) = \pm n.$$

The function f also satisfies equations in (5.1) with $\tilde{a}(f) = \sqrt{\pm 2f}$ and $\tilde{b}(f) = \pm n$. Consider the isoparametric family $f = t$. By (4.8) and (4.12), a straightforward computation yields that

$$\sum_a k_a = \pm\frac{1-n}{\tilde{a}}, \ \sum_a k_a^2 = \frac{n-1}{\tilde{a}^2}. \tag{5.18}$$

The formulas above imply that

$$(\sum_a k_a)^2 = (n-1)\sum_a k_a^2. \tag{5.19}$$

From (5.18), (5.19) and (5.3), we have

$$k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_{n-1} = \pm\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\pm 2t}} = \mp\frac{1}{r}, \ \hat{K} = \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

□

Theorem 5.3. *In an n-dimensional Minkowski space $(V, F, d\mu)(n > 2)$, a $\hat{g}$-totally umbilic hypersurface is isoparametric with $g = 1$ and it must be either a Minkowski hyperplane, a Minkowski hypersphere or a reverse Minkowski hypersphere.*

Proof. Let N be a $\hat{g}$-totally umbilic hypersurface with local coordinates $(u^1, \cdots, u^{n-1})$ at $x = \phi(u) \in N$. Note that $k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_{n-1} = k$ and

$$D^{\mathbf{n}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^a}} \mathbf{n} = -\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \right) = -k d\phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \right). \tag{5.20}$$

Set $\mathbf{n} = n^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $n^i_a = \frac{\partial n^i}{\partial u^a}$, $n^i_{ab} = \frac{\partial^2 n^i}{\partial u^a u^b}$. Then from (5.20), we obtain

$$n^i_{ab} + \frac{\partial k}{\partial u^b} \phi^i_a + k \phi^i_{ab} = 0.$$

This implies $\frac{\partial k}{\partial u^a} = \frac{\partial k}{\partial u^b} = 0, \forall a \neq b$, that is, k is a constant. Hence, N is isoparametric.

When $k = 0$, (5.20) implies that $\mathbf{n}$ is a constant vector. Thus N is a Minkowski hyperplane. When $k \neq 0$, from (5.20) we know that there is a point $x_0 \in M$ such that

$$\mathbf{n} + kx = kx_0, \ \forall x \in N.$$

This implies $F(-k(x - x_0)) = 1$. Therefore, $F(-(x - x_0)) = \frac{1}{k}$ when $k > 0$ and $F(x - x_0) = \frac{-1}{k}$ when $k < 0$. $\square$

5.3. **Isoparametric hypersurfaces with $g = 2$.** Let $(\bar{V}, \bar{F})$ be an m-dimensional Minkowski subspace of (V, F), that is, $\bar{F} = F|_{\bar{V}}$. Let $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\bar{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r)$ be the (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere in the $(\bar{V}, \bar{F})$. We call $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\bar{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} : \bar{F}(\pm(\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0)) = r, \ \bar{x} \in \bar{V}$, the *(reverse) F-Minkowski cylinder* of radius r in Minkowski space (V, F).

On the other hand, let F^* be the dual metric of F, $\bar{V}^*$ be an m-dimensional subspace of $V^* = T^*_x V$ and $\tilde{F}$ be the dual metric of $F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$ in $\bar{V}$. That is,

$$\tilde{F}(\bar{y}) = \sup_{\bar{\xi} \in \bar{V}^* \backslash 0} \frac{\bar{\xi}(\bar{y})}{F^*(\bar{\xi})}, \quad \bar{y} \in \bar{V}.$$

Then $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$ is also a Minkowski space. Let $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r)$ be the (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$. The cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} : \tilde{F}(\pm(\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0)) = r, \ \bar{x} \in \bar{V}$, is said to be the *(reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder* of radius r in Minkowski space (V, F).

Remark 5.3. In general, we have

$$\bar{F}(\bar{y}) = F(\bar{y}) = \sup_{\xi \in V^* \backslash 0} \frac{\xi(\bar{y})}{F^*(\xi)} \geq \sup_{\bar{\xi} \in \bar{V}^* \backslash 0} \frac{\bar{\xi}(\bar{y})}{F^*(\bar{\xi})} = \tilde{F}(\bar{y}), \quad \bar{y} \in \bar{V}.$$

Thus an (reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder is not always an (reverse) F-Minkowski cylinder.

Theorem 5.4. *In an n-dimensional Minkowski space $(V, F, d\mu)$, any (reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ must be an isoparametric hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures 0 and $\mp\frac{1}{r}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{V}^*$ be an m-dimensional subspace of V^* and $\tilde{F}^* = F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$. Then we can take an orthogonal coordinate system $\{(x^i)\}$ in V with respect to the Euclidean metric such that $\bar{V}^* = \{\bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m, 0, \cdots, 0)|\bar{\xi} \in V^*\}$ and $\bar{V} = \{x \in V|x = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$. Here and from now on, we use the following convention of index ranges:

$$1 \leq \alpha, \beta \cdots \leq m < n;\ m+1 \leq \lambda, \mu \cdots \leq n.$$

Set $\bar{x} = (x^\alpha, 0) \in \bar{V}$ and set

$$f(x) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^2(\pm\bar{x}),$$

for any $x = (x^i) \in V$. Then

$$df = \tilde{F}(\pm\bar{x})\tilde{F}_{y^\alpha}(\pm\bar{x})dx^\alpha = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\pm\bar{x}) \in \bar{V}^*,$$

$$f_{ij}(x) = [\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^2]_{y^\alpha y^\beta}(\pm\bar{x})\delta^\alpha_i\delta^\beta_j = \pm\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\pm\bar{x})\delta^\alpha_i\delta^\beta_j,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} : \bar{V} \to \bar{V}^*$ is the Legendre transform with respect to the metric $\tilde{F}$. So we have

$$\widetilde{\nabla} f = \pm\bar{x},\ F^*(df) = \tilde{F}^*(df) = \tilde{F}(\pm\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\pm 2f(x)},$$

$$g^{*ij}(df)f_{ij} = \pm\tilde{g}^{*\alpha\beta}(df)\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\pm\bar{x}) = \pm\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\pm\bar{x})\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\pm\bar{x}) = \pm m,$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla} f$ is the gradient vector of f in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$. This means that f satisfies (5.1) with $\tilde{a}(t) = \sqrt{\pm 2t}$, $\tilde{b}(t) = \pm m$.

By (4.9) and (4.8), a straightforward computation yields that

$$\sum_a k_a = \pm\frac{1-m}{\tilde{a}},\ \sum_a k_a^2 = \frac{m-1}{\tilde{a}^2}. \tag{5.21}$$

We get from above that

$$(\sum_a k_a)^2 = (m-1)\sum_a k_a^2. \tag{5.22}$$

On the other hand, $\nabla^2 f$ has $n-m$ vanishing eigenvalues since $f_{i\lambda} = f_{\lambda i} = 0$. Setting $k_m = k_{m+1} = \ldots = k_{n-1} = 0$, we obtain by (5.22) and (5.21) that

$$k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_{m-1} = \pm\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\pm 2t}} = \mp\frac{1}{r}.$$

□

In general, it is difficult to express the metric $\tilde{F}$ explicitly. So we consider some special Minkowski spaces in which some F-Minkowski cylinders are also isoparametric.

Corollary 5.1 Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Minkowski space and $(\bar{V}, \bar{F})$ be a Minkowski subspace of $(V, F, d\mu)$. If

(1) the Legendre transformation satisfies $\mathcal{L}(\bar{V}) \subset \bar{V}^*$ or
(2) $\bar{F}$ is a Euclidean metric,
then the (reverse) F-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\bar{F}_\pm}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ is isoparametric in $(V, F, d\mu)$, where $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\bar{F}_\pm}(r)$ is a (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere in $(\bar{V}, \bar{F})$. In the latter case, $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\bar{F}_\pm}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ is actually the Euclidean cylinder $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$.

Proof. (1) If $\mathcal{L}(\bar{V}) \subset \bar{V}^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}(\bar{y}) = F(\bar{y}) &= \sup_{\xi \in V^* \backslash 0} \frac{\xi(\bar{y})}{F^*(\xi)} \\ &\geq \sup_{\bar{\xi} \in \bar{V}^* \backslash 0} \frac{\bar{\xi}(\bar{y})}{F^*(\bar{\xi})} = \tilde{F}(\bar{y}) \\ &\geq \frac{\bar{\xi}(\bar{y})}{F^*(\bar{\xi})}\Big|_{\bar{\xi}=\mathcal{L}(\bar{y})} = F^*(\mathcal{L}(\bar{y})) = F(\bar{y}), \quad \forall \bar{y} \in \bar{V}.\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\bar{F} = \tilde{F}$.
(2) Let $\bar{F}$ be a Euclidean metric. First, for any unit vector e in Euclidean m-space $(\bar{V}, \bar{F})$, there exists an orthogonal matrix $\bar{A}$ such that $\bar{A}e = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}})^T$. In fact, in the standard sphere $S^m(1)$, by a suitable rotation, one can transplant e to $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}})^T$. Let $\bar{V} = \{x \in V | x = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$. Then $F(y) = F(y^1, \cdots, y^n)$ is invariant under the action of the group $O(m) \times I(n-m)$ and thus there exists an orthogonal matrix $A \in O(m) \times I(n-m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&A\left(\frac{y^1}{\sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \cdots + (y^m)^2}}, \cdots, \frac{y^m}{\sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \cdots + (y^m)^2}}, y^{m+1} \cdots, y^n\right)^T \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, y^{m+1} \cdots, y^n\right)^T.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}F(y^1, \cdots, y^n) &= F(A(y^1, \cdots, y^m, y^{m+1}, \cdots, y^n)) \\ &= F\left(\frac{\sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \cdots + (y^m)^2}}{\sqrt{m}}, \cdots, \frac{\sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \cdots + (y^m)^2}}{\sqrt{m}}, y^{m+1}, \cdots, y^n\right) \\ &:= \Phi(\sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \cdots + (y^m)^2}, y^{m+1}, \cdots, y^n). \qquad (5.23)\end{aligned}$$

Let $F^*(\xi) = F^*(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$ be the dual metric of F, where $\xi = \mathcal{L}(y)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}A^* : \quad & T^*M \to T^*M \\ & \xi \longmapsto A^*\xi\end{aligned}$$

such that $A^*\xi(y) = \xi(Ay)$ for any $y \in TM$. Then $A^* = A^T \in O(m) \times I(n-m)$ and we have

$$F^*(A^*\xi) = \sup_{y\in TM\backslash 0} \frac{A^*\xi(y)}{F(y)} = \sup_{y\in TM\backslash 0} \frac{\xi(Ay)}{F(y)} = \sup_{Ay\in TM\backslash 0} \frac{\xi(Ay)}{F(Ay)} = F^*(\xi).$$

By a similar argument as above, we obtain

$$F^*(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) := \Psi(\sqrt{(\xi_1)^2 + \cdots + (\xi_m)^2}, \xi_{m+1}, \cdots, \xi_n). \tag{5.24}$$

Let $\bar{V}^* := \{\bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m, 0, \cdots, 0)\}$ be the subspace of V^*. Then $F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$ is a standard Euclidean metric and its dual metric $\tilde{F}$ in $\bar{V}$ is also a standard Euclidean metric. Thus $\bar{F} = \tilde{F}$. $\square$

It can be seen from (2.4) that $\mathcal{L}(\bar{V}) \subset \bar{V}$ if and only if

$$[F]_{y^\lambda}(\bar{y}) = 0, \ m+1 \le \lambda \le n, \ \forall \bar{y} \in \bar{V}. \tag{5.25}$$

Example 1 Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be a Minkowski space with k-th root metric $F = \sqrt[k]{\sum_i (y^i)^k}, (k > 2)$. Set $\bar{V} = \{x \in V | x = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}(1 < m < n)$. It is easy to check that F satisfies (5.25), which means that the condition (1) in Corollary 5.1 is satisfied. Therefore

$$(x^1)^k + (x^2)^k + \ldots + (x^m)^k = r^k$$

is an isoparametric hypersurface, where $1 < m \le n$.

Example 2 Let $(V, \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}), d\mu)$ be an (α, β)-Minkowski space, where $\alpha = \sqrt{\sum_i (y^i)^2}, \beta = by^1$. Set $\bar{V} = \{x \in V | x = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}(1 < m < n)$. Then F also satisfies (5.25), that is, F satisfies the condition (1) in Corollary 5.1. On the other hand, if we set $\bar{V} = \{x \in V | x = (0, \cdots, 0, x^{m+1}, \ldots, x^n)\}(1 \le m < n-1)$, then it is obvious that $\bar{F} = \sqrt{\sum_\lambda (y^\lambda)^2}$ satisfies the condition (2) in Corollary 5.1. Thus

$$\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (x^\alpha)^2} \phi \left(\frac{\pm b x^1}{\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (x^\alpha)^2}} \right) = r, \ 1 < m \le n,$$

and

$$\sum_{\lambda=l+1}^n (x^\lambda)^2 = r^2, \ 1 \le l < n-1,$$

are isoparametric hypersurfaces in $(V, \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}), d\mu)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Minkowski space satisfying $Q_y(X, Y) \neq 1$, $\forall y, X, Y \in V$, where y, X, Y are orthogonal to each other with respect to g_y. Then any isoparametric hypersurface with $g = 2$ in $(V, F, d\mu)$ must be an F^*-Minkowski cylinder or a reverse F^*-Minkowski cylinder.*

Proof. Let N be an isoparametric hypersurface with $g = 2$ and $\mathbf{n} = \nabla\rho$, where $\rho(x) = d(N, x)$. By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, $\rho(x)$ is an isoparametric function in $(V, F, d\mu)$. Hence, it satisfies (5.1). Let $e_1, \cdots, e_{m-1}$ and

$e_m, \cdots, e_{n-1}$ be the eigenvectors of $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{n}}$ with constant principal curvatures $k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_{m-1} = k$ and $k_m = k_{m+1} = \ldots = k_{n-1} = k'$, respectively. By assumption and (5.11), $kk' = 0$. Set $k' = 0, k = \pm\frac{1}{r}, r > 0$. From (5.7), we know that $\hat{\Gamma}_{\lambda\alpha\beta} = \hat{\Gamma}_{\lambda\alpha\mu} = 0, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1, m \leq \lambda, \mu \leq n-1$. Thus from (3.10), we have

$$D^{\mathbf{n}}_{e_b} e_\lambda = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \hat{\Gamma}_{\lambda ab} e_a + \hat{h}_{\mathbf{n}}(e_b, e_\lambda) = \sum_{\nu=m}^{n-1} \hat{\Gamma}_{\lambda\nu b} e_\nu, \ 1 \leq b \leq n-1, m \leq \lambda \leq n-1.$$

This implies that the tangent subspace spanned by $\{e_m, \cdots, e_{n-1}\}$ is parallel in V. We can choose the coordinates $\{x^i\}$ in V such that

$$\mathrm{span}\{e_m, \cdots, e_{n-1}\} = \mathrm{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{m+1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x^\lambda} = d\rho(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}) = g_{\mathbf{n}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}, \mathbf{n}) = 0, \forall \lambda = m+1, \ldots, n,$$

which shows that $\rho(x) = \rho(x^1, \ldots, x^m)$. Set $\bar{V} = \{\bar{x} \in V | \bar{x} = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$, $\bar{V}^* = \{\bar{\xi} \in V^* | \bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m, 0, \cdots, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{F}^* = F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{n}) = d\rho \in \bar{V}^*$, where $\mathcal{L} : (V, F) \to (V^*, F^*)$ is the Legendre transform. Further, we have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (V, F) \to & (V^*, F^*) \to (\bar{V}^*, \tilde{F}^*) \to & & (\bar{V}, \tilde{F}) \\ \mathbf{n} \ \longmapsto & \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{n}) = d\rho \ \longmapsto & & \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(d\rho) = \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} : (\bar{V}, \tilde{F}) \to (\bar{V}^*, \tilde{F}^*)$ is the Legendre transform. Note that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = d\rho \in \bar{V}^*$ and $\tilde{F}^* = F^*\big|_{\bar{V}^*}$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}^*(d\rho) = F^*(d\rho) = \tilde{a}(\rho) = 1, \\ \tilde{g}^{*\alpha\beta}(d\rho)\rho_{\alpha\beta} = g^{*\alpha\beta}(d\rho)\rho_{\alpha\beta} = g^{*ij}(d\rho)\rho_{ij} = \tilde{b}(\rho). \end{cases} \tag{5.26}$$

This means that ρ is also an isoparametric function in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$. From (4.8) we know that $\tilde{b}(\rho) = -(m-1)k$. Let $\bar{N} = \{\bar{x} \in \bar{V} | \rho(\bar{x}) = 0\}$ and $\{\tilde{k}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}$ be the constant principal curvatures of $\bar{N}$. By (5.26), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} \tilde{k}_\alpha = \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} k_a = (m-1)k.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} \tilde{k}_\alpha^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} k_a^2 = (m-1)k^2.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{k}_1 = \cdots = \tilde{k}_{m-1} = k$. This means that $\bar{N}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface with $g = 1$ in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$. By Theorem 5.3, we conclude that $\bar{N}$ must be a (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r)$ in $(\bar{V}, \tilde{F})$ and thus N must be an (reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(\bar{x}_0, r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. □

6. Isoparametric hypersurfaces in a Randers space

Let (M, F) be an $n-$dimensional Randers space, where $F = \alpha + \beta = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^iy^j} + b_iy^i$. It is known that

$$g_{ij} = \frac{F}{\alpha}(a_{ij} - \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^j}) + F_{y^i}F_{y^j}. \tag{6.1}$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let (M, F) be an $n-$dimensional Randers space, where $F = \alpha + \beta$ and $b = ||\beta||_\alpha$. Then the Cartan curvature satisfies $1 - Q_y(X, Y) = \alpha(1 - b^2) > 0$ and $C_y(X, Y, Z) = 0$ for any $y, X, Y, Z \in T_xM, x \in M$, where y, X, Y, Z are all orthogonal to each other with respect to g_y.*

Proof. From (6.1), we obtain by a straightforward calculation that

$$2C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\alpha b_k - \beta\alpha_{y^k})h_{ij} - \frac{F}{\alpha}(\alpha_{y^iy^k}\alpha_{y^j} + \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^jy^k}) + F_{y^iy^k}F_{y^j} + F_{y^i}F_{y^jy^k}, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2C_{ijkl} = &\left(\frac{F}{\alpha}\right)_{y^ky^l} h_{ij} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\alpha b_k - \beta\alpha_{y^k})(\alpha_{y^iy^l}\alpha_{y^j} + \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^jy^l}) \\
&- \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\alpha b_l - \beta\alpha_{y^l})(\alpha_{y^iy^k}\alpha_{y^j} + \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^jy^k}) \\
&- \frac{F}{\alpha}(\alpha_{y^iy^ky^l}\alpha_{y^j} + \alpha_{y^iy^k}\alpha_{y^jy^l} + \alpha_{y^iy^l}\alpha_{y^jy^k} + \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^jy^ky^l}) \\
&+ F_{y^iy^ky^l}F_{y^j} + F_{y^iy^k}F_{y^jy^l} + F_{y^iy^l}F_{y^jy^k} + F_{y^i}F_{y^jy^ky^l},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where $h_{ij} = a_{ij} - \alpha_{y^i}\alpha_{y^j}$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame field with respect to g_y such that $e_n = \ell$ and set $e_a = u_a^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. Then

$$F_{y^i}u_a^i = 0,\ \alpha_{y^i}u_a^i = -\beta(e_a),\ F_{y^iy^j}u_a^iu_b^j = \alpha_{y^iy^j}u_a^iu_b^j = \delta_{ab},$$

$$\alpha_{y^iy^jy^k}u_a^iu_b^ju_b^k = F_{y^iy^jy^k}u_a^iu_b^ju_b^k = 2C_{ijk}u_a^iu_b^ju_b^k,\ h(e_a, e_b) = \alpha\delta_{ab},$$

when $y = \ell \in S_xM$. Thus, we have from (6.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\hat{C}_{abc} = 2C(e_a, e_b, e_c) =&\frac{1}{\alpha}\left((\beta(e_c)\alpha + \beta\beta(e_c))\delta_{ab} + \delta_{ac}\beta(e_b) + \delta_{bc}\beta(e_a)\right) \\
=&\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\beta(e_c)\delta_{ab} + \beta(e_b)\delta_{ac} + \beta(e_a)\delta_{bc}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{C}_{abc} = 0,\ a \neq b \neq c \neq a, \\
&\hat{C}_{aab} = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\beta(e_b),\ a \neq b \\
&\hat{C}_{aaa} = \frac{3}{2\alpha}\beta(e_a).
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.3), for any $a \neq b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{abab} = \mathcal{C}(e_a, e_b, e_a, e_b) =& \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_a)\alpha + \beta\beta(e_a))\beta(e_a) + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_b)\alpha + \beta\beta(e_b))\beta(e_b) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(2\hat{C}_{aab}\beta(e_b) - 1 + 2\beta(e_a)\hat{C}_{bab}\right) + 1 \\
=& \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_a)^2 + \beta(e_b)^2) - \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4\sum_c \hat{C}_{aac}\hat{C}_{bbc} =& 4(\hat{C}_{aaa}\hat{C}_{bba} + \hat{C}_{aab}\hat{C}_{bbb}) + 4\sum_{c\neq a,b} \hat{C}_{aac}\hat{C}_{bbc} \\
=& \frac{3}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_a)^2 + \beta(e_b)^2) + \sum_{c\neq a,b} \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\beta(e_c)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - Q(e_a, e_b) =& 1 + 2\mathcal{C}_{aabb} - 4\sum_c \hat{C}_{aac}\hat{C}_{bbc} \\
=& 2 + \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_a)^2 + \beta(e_b)^2) - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{3}{\alpha^2}(\beta(e_a)^2 + \beta(e_b)^2) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\sum_{c\neq a,b} \beta(e_c)^2 \\
=& 2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(|\beta|^2_{g^*} - \beta(e_n)^2) \\
=& 1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} - \frac{|\beta|^2_{g^*}}{\alpha^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the formula in [10], we have

$$|\beta|^2_{g^*} = g^{ij}b_i b_j = b^2(\alpha + \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta) + \beta^3$$

Hence

$$1 - Q(e_a, e_b) = \alpha(1 - b^2) > 0.$$

□

From Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 5.1 ∼ 5.5, we have following classification theorem.

Theorem 6.1 In an n-dimensional Randers-Minkowski space $(V, F, d\mu)$ where $d\mu$ is the BH(resp. HT)-volume form, any isoparametric hypersurface has at most two distinct principal curvatures and it must be either a Minkowski hyperplane, a (reverse) Minkowski hypersphere $\hat{S}^{n-1}_{F_\pm}(r)$, or an (reverse) F^*-Minkowski cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$.

Let $F = \alpha + \beta = \sqrt{\delta_{ij}y^i y^j} + b_i y^i$ be a Minkowski-Randers metric and $d\mu$ be the BH(resp. HT)-volume form. Set $\lambda = 1 - b^2$, $b^i = a^{ij}b_j$. The dual metric F^* can be expressed by([7])

$$F^*(\xi) = \alpha^*(\xi) + \beta^*(\xi) = \sqrt{a^{*ij}\xi_i\xi_j} + b^{*i}\xi_i, \quad \xi = \xi_i dx^i \in T^*_x M, \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$a^{*ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}(\lambda\delta^{ij} + b^i b^j), \quad b^{*i} = -\frac{b^i}{\lambda}. \tag{6.5}$$

Set $\bar{V}^* := \{\bar{\xi} \in V^* | \bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m, 0, \cdots, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{F}^* = F^*|_{\bar{V}^*}$. It is obvious that

$$\tilde{F}^*(\bar{\xi}) = \sqrt{\tilde{a}^{*\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta} + \tilde{b}^{*\alpha}\xi_\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{(\lambda\delta^{\alpha\beta} + b^\alpha b^\beta)\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta} - b^\alpha\xi_\alpha\right).$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{a}^*_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda\left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \bar{b}^2}b_\alpha b_\beta\right), \ \tilde{b}^*_\alpha = \tilde{a}^*_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{b}^{*\beta} = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \bar{b}^2}b_\alpha,$$

where $\bar{b}^2 = b^\alpha b_\alpha$. The dual metric of $\tilde{F}^*$ in $\bar{V} = \{y \in V | y = (y^1, \ldots, y^m, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$ can be written as

$$\tilde{F}(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\left(\sqrt{(\bar{\lambda}\tilde{a}^*_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{b}^*_\alpha\tilde{b}^*_\beta)y^\alpha y^\beta} - \tilde{b}^*_\alpha y^\alpha\right) = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta} + \tilde{b}_\alpha y^\alpha,$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = 1 - \tilde{b}^{*\alpha}\tilde{b}^*_\alpha = 1 - \frac{\bar{b}^2}{\lambda + \bar{b}^2}$ and

$$\tilde{a}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}^2}\left(\bar{\lambda}\tilde{a}^*_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{b}^*_\alpha\tilde{b}^*_\beta\right) = (\lambda + \bar{b}^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \ \tilde{b}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\tilde{b}^*_\alpha = b_\alpha.$$

That is

$$\tilde{F}(\bar{y}) = \sqrt{(\lambda + \bar{b}^2)}|\bar{y}| + b_\alpha y^\alpha.$$

Example 3 Let $F = \alpha + \beta = \sqrt{\delta_{ij}y^i y^j} + b_i y^i = |y| + \beta(y)$. The equation of the isoparametric cylinder $\hat{S}^{m-1}_{\tilde{F}_\pm}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ can be expressed explicitly as

$$\sqrt{\lambda + \bar{b}^2}|\bar{x}| \pm \beta(\bar{x}) = r, \ \bar{x} = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

From [7], the gradient vector of f at x can be written as

$$\nabla f = \frac{F^*(df)}{\lambda\alpha^*(df)}(f^i - F^*(df)b^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $df = f_i dx^i, f^i = \delta^{ij}f_j$. Let $\nabla^\alpha f$ and $\Delta^\alpha_\sigma f$ be the gradient vector and the Laplacian of f with respect to $d\mu$, respectively. Then

$$\nabla f = \frac{F^*(df)}{\lambda\alpha^*(df)}(\nabla^\alpha f - F^*(df)\beta^\sharp), \tag{6.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f = &\mathrm{div}\nabla f = \frac{F^*(df)}{\lambda\alpha^*(df)}\Delta^\alpha f + < df - F^*(df)\beta, d\left(\frac{F^*(df)}{\lambda\alpha^*(df)}\right) >_\alpha \\ &- \frac{F^*(df)}{\lambda\alpha^*(df)} < dF^*(df), \beta >_\alpha,\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

$$F^*(df) = \alpha^*(df) + \beta^*(df) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\lambda|df|^2_\alpha + < df, \beta >^2_\alpha} - < df, \beta >_\alpha\right). \tag{6.9}$$

(4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} |df|_\alpha^2 = \lambda\tilde{a}^2 + 2\tilde{a}\zeta, \\ \Delta^\alpha f = \dfrac{\lambda\alpha^*\tilde{b}}{\tilde{a}} - \lambda\tilde{a}\tilde{a}' + \dfrac{1}{\lambda\alpha^*} < df - \tilde{a}\beta, d(\lambda\alpha^*) >_\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{6.10}$$

where $\zeta =< df, \beta >_\alpha$. Since $\lambda\alpha^* = \lambda\tilde{a} + \zeta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda\alpha^*) =& \lambda\tilde{a}'df + d\zeta, \\ < df, d\zeta >_\alpha= &<< \nabla^\alpha df, \beta >_\alpha, df >_\alpha=<< \nabla^\alpha df, df >_\alpha, \beta >_\alpha . \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

It follows from $(6.10)_1$ that

$$< \nabla^\alpha df, df >_\alpha=(\lambda\tilde{a} + \zeta)\tilde{a}'df + \tilde{a}d\zeta,$$
$$<< \nabla^\alpha df, df >_\alpha, \beta >_\alpha=(\lambda\tilde{a} + \zeta)\tilde{a}'\zeta + \tilde{a} < \beta, d\zeta >_\alpha .$$

Thus

$$< df - \tilde{a}\beta, d(\lambda\alpha^*) >_\alpha=< df - \tilde{a}\beta, d\zeta >_\alpha +\lambda\tilde{a}'(|df|_\alpha^2 - \tilde{a}\zeta) = \tilde{a}'(\lambda\tilde{a} + \zeta)^2.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $(V, F, d\mu)$ be an n-dimensional Randers-Minkowski space where $d\mu$ is the BH(resp. HT)-volume form. A function f is isoparametric if and only if it satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} |df|_\alpha^2 = \lambda\tilde{a}(f)^2 + 2\tilde{a}(f) < df, \beta >_\alpha, \\ \Delta^\alpha f = \lambda\tilde{b}(f) + \left(\dfrac{\tilde{b}(f)}{\tilde{a}(f)} + \tilde{a}'(f)\right) < df, \beta >_\alpha . \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

Example 4 Let $f(x) = |\bar{x}| + \beta(x)$, where $\bar{x} = (x^1, \ldots, x^m, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then

$$f_\alpha = \frac{x^\alpha}{|\bar{x}|} + b_\alpha,\ f_\lambda = b_\lambda,\ f_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{|\bar{x}|^2 - x^\alpha x^\alpha}{|\bar{x}|^3},\ f_{\lambda\lambda} = 0,$$

$$|df|_\alpha^2 = 1 + b^2 + 2\frac{\beta(\bar{x})}{|\bar{x}|},\ < df, \beta >_\alpha= \frac{\beta(\bar{x})}{|\bar{x}|} + b^2,\ \Delta^\alpha f = \frac{m-1}{|\bar{x}|}.$$

By choosing $\tilde{a}(t) = 1$, one can easily to check that

$$\lambda\tilde{a}(f)^2 + 2\tilde{a}(f) < df, \beta >_\alpha= \lambda + 2\left(\frac{\beta(\bar{x})}{|\bar{x}|} + b^2\right) = |df|_\alpha^2.$$

That is, f is a transnormal function. If f is an isoparametric function, then from (4.9), its each regular level surface in M_f must have two constant principal curvatures 0 and $k = \frac{-1}{s+c}$. Denote the multiplicity of k by q. It follows from (4.8) that $\tilde{b}(t) = \frac{q}{t+c}$, where c is a constant. Then when $b_\lambda \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\tilde{b}(f) + \left(\frac{\tilde{b}(f)}{\tilde{a}(f)} + \tilde{a}'(f)\right) < df, \beta >_\alpha &= \frac{q}{|\bar{x}| + \beta(x) + c}\left(\lambda + \frac{\beta(\bar{x})}{|\bar{x}|} + b^2\right) \\ &= \frac{q(|\bar{x}| + \beta(\bar{x}))}{|\bar{x}|(|\bar{x}| + \beta(x) + c)} \neq \Delta^\alpha f \end{aligned}$$

at points where $\beta(x) \neq \beta(\bar{x})$. That is, f does not satisfy (6.12) and thus it is not an isoparametric function.

References

[1] B. Segre, *Famiglie di ipersuperficie isoparametrische negli spazi euclidei ad un qualunque numero di demesioni*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincie Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 27 (1938), 203-207.

[2] E. Cartan, *Families des surface isoparametrique dans les espaces a courbure constante*. Ann. Mat. 17(1938), 177-191.

[3] Z. Z. Tang and W. J. Yan, *Isoparametric foliation and Yau conjecture on the first eigenvalue*. J. Diff. Geom., 94 (2013), 521C540.

[4] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Tight and taut immersions of manifolds*. Pitman Adv. Publ. Prog., 1985, Boston-London.

[5] C. Qian and Z.Z. Tang, *Recent progress in isoparametric functions and isoparametric hypersurfaces*, Preprint, (2014).

[6] Q. M. Wang, *Isoparametric functions on Riemannian manifolds*. I, Math. Ann., 277 (1987), 639C646.

[7] Z. M. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler geometry*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2001.

[8] S. Ohta and K-T, Sturm, *Bochner-Weitzenbock formula and Li-Yau estimates on Finsler manifolds*. Adv. Math., 252(2014), 429-448.

[9] S. T. Yin, Q. He and Y. B. Shen, *On the first eigenvalue of Finsler-Laplacian in a Finsler manifold with nonnegative weighted Ricci curvature*. Science in China Ser. A, 57(2014), 1057C1070.

[10] D. W. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. M. Shen, *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*. GTM, 200. Springer, New York, 2000.

[11] Q. He and Y. B. Shen, *On the mean curvature of Finsler submanifolds*, Chin. J. Contemp. Math., 27C(2006), 431-442.

[12] Z. M. Shen, *On Finsler geometry of submanifolds*. Math. Ann. 311 (1998), 549-576.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China
E-mail address: `hequn@tongji.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tongling University, Tongling, 244000, China
E-mail address: `yst419@163.com`

Department of Mathematics, zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310028 zhejiang, China
E-mail address: `yibingshen@zju.edu.cn`